



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,712	01/17/2002	Daniel Shahaf	56150794-3	8637	
26453 7.	590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		
BAKER & MCKENZIE 805 THIRD AVENUE			EREZO, DARWIN P		
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3761	10	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Som
. P ,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/051,712	·	SHAHAF, DANIEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	•	Darwin P. Ere	ezo	3761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence addres	S
A SH THE I - Exte - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insigns of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutor atutory period will apply and will ex will, by statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be ti y minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tion to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 08 September 20	03.		
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This action is no			
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract				ents is
	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-22 is/ar	re withdrawn from consid	deration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	ction and/or election requ	uirement.		
•—	The specification is objected to by the			·	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	- '			
11)	The proposed drawing correction file			roved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are re-		e action.		
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner.			
=	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		•	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority unde	ır 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been i	received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been i	received in Applica	tion No	
· * (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies application from the Interr See the attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).		je ·
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f		•		olication).
·	a) The translation of the foreign lart Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional appli	ication has been re	eceived.	
Attachmer	•				
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-15:	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,712 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group 1 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 19-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,731,678 to Pyzel in view of US 3,565,068 to Bickford.
- 3. **As to claim 1**, Pyzel teaches a breathing apparatus comprising:
- a filtering unit **13** having an inlet **18** for receiving contaminated air and at least one filter **22** for converting the contaminated air to purified air;

Art Unit: 3761

a chamber (defined by top portion 11) having an air opening, the chamber coupled to the filtering unit for collecting the purified air;

a mouthpiece **16** coupled to the chamber, the mouthpiece operable for resting in the mouth of a user so that the user can inhale the purified air through the opening and exhale carbon dioxide through the opening without the use of hands; and

an outlet 24 coupled to the chamber for releasing the exhaled carbon dioxide.

Pyzel is silent with regards to a nose plug removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user.

Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus having a chamber with a nose plug **20** removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the nose plug of Bickford in the apparatus of Pyzel because it prevents nasal inhalation and forces the user to breathe through the breathing device in order to receive filtered air.

4. **As to claim 2**, Pyzel teaches a breathing apparatus for removing or reducing smoke but is silent with regards to a transparent bag.

Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus having a transparent bag **22** removably attached to the chamber, the transparent bag operable for preventing contaminated air from entering the eyes, ears and nose of the user.

Art Unit: 3761

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transparent bag of Bickford with the apparatus of Pyzel because it would protect the user's eyes from smoke.

5. **As to claim 4**, Pyzel is silent with regards to a clip attached to the filtering unit.

Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus having a clip **27** to secure the device on a user's article.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made add a clip to the device of Pyzel, as disclosed by Bickford, because it secures the device to a user's belt or pocket when not in use.

- 6. **As to claim 5**, Pyzel teaches an elongated filtering unit.
- 7. **As to claim 6**, Pyzel teaches the filtering unit, chamber and mouthpiece having a pen-like configuration (elongated).
- 8. As to claims 7 and 9, Pyzel teaches a breathing apparatus comprising:
 a filtering unit 13 having an inlet 18 for receiving contaminated air and at least
 one filter 22 for converting the contaminated air to purified air;

a chamber (defined by top portion 11) having an air opening, the chamber coupled to the filtering unit for collecting the purified air;

a mouthpiece **16** coupled to the chamber, the mouthpiece operable for resting in the mouth of a user so that the user can inhale the purified air through the opening and exhale carbon dioxide through the opening without the use of hands; and

an outlet 24 coupled to the chamber for releasing the exhaled carbon dioxide.

Pyzel is silent with regards to a nose plug removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user, and a transparent bag.

Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus having a chamber with a nose plug 20 removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user; and a transparent bag 22 removably attached to the chamber, the transparent bag operable for preventing contaminated air from entering the eyes, ears and nose of the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the nose plug of Bickford in the apparatus of Pyzel because it prevents nasal inhalation and forces the user to breathe through the breathing device in order to receive filtered air. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transparent bag of Bickford with the apparatus of Pyzel because it would protect the user's eyes from smoke.

- 9. **As to claim 8**, Pyzel teaches a breathing apparatus comprising:
- a filtering unit 13 having an inlet 18 for receiving contaminated air and at least one filter 22 for converting the contaminated air to purified air;
- a chamber (defined by top portion **11**) having an air opening, the chamber coupled to the filtering unit for collecting the purified air;

Art Unit: 3761

a mouthpiece **16** coupled to the chamber, the mouthpiece operable for resting in the mouth of a user so that the user can inhale the purified air through the opening and exhale carbon dioxide through the opening without the use of hands; and

an outlet 24 coupled to the chamber for releasing the exhaled carbon dioxide.

Pyzel is silent with regards to a nose plug removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user; a transparent bag; and a clip attached to the filtering unit.

Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus having a chamber with a nose plug 20 removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user; a transparent bag 22 removably attached to the chamber, the transparent bag operable for preventing contaminated air from entering the eyes, ears and nose of the user; and a clip 27 to secure the device on a user's article.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the nose plug of Bickford in the apparatus of Pyzel because it prevents nasal inhalation and forces the user to breathe through the breathing device in order to receive filtered air. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transparent bag of Bickford with the apparatus of Pyzel because it would protect the user's eyes from smoke. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made add a clip to the device of Pyzel, as

disclosed by Bickford, because it secures the device to a user's belt or pocket when not in use.

- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pyzel in view of Bickford, and in further view of US 4,709,651 to Lance.
- 11. **As to claim 3**, the above combination of Pyzel/Bickford teaches a breathing device having a clip, as discussed in claim 4, but is silent with regards to the clip being a whistle.

Lance teaches a whistle that is adaptable to be used as a clip.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the whistle clip of Lance in the device of Pyzel/Bickford because it would allow a user to get a rescuer's attention if the room is filled with smoke.

- 12. Claim 10, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pyzel in view of Bickford and in further view of US 5,322,058 to Pasternack.
- 13. **As to claim 10**, the above combination of Pyzel/Bickford, as applied to claim 1, teaches all the limitation of the claim except for an expandable sac coupled to the filtering unit for collecting the carbon dioxide exhaled by the user.

Pasternack teaches a breathing device having an expandable sac **7** connected to a filtering unit for collecting carbon dioxide exhaled by the user

Art Unit: 3761

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the expandable sac of Pasternack to the device of Pyzel/Bickford because it allows the user to reuse the exhaled breath with low carbon dioxide level back into the system (col. 2, lines 49-59).

- 14. **As to claim 11**, the above combination of Pyzel/Bickford (and in further view of Pasternack), as applied to claim 2, and in further view of Pasternack, teaches a transparent bag.
- 15. **As to claim 13**, the above combination of Pyzel/Bickford (and in further view of Pasternack), as applied to claim 4, teaches a clip.
- 16. **As to claim 14**, Pyzel teaches a carbon filter (col. 6, line 35).
- 17. **As to claim 15**, Bickford teaches an oxygen source **12**.
- 18. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pyzel in view of Bickford and Pasternack, and in further view of US 4,709,651 to Lance.
- 19. **As to claim 3**, the above combination of Pyzel/Bickford/Pasternack teaches a breathing device having a clip, as discussed in claim 4, but is silent with regards to the clip being a whistle.

Lance teaches a whistle that is adaptable to be used as a clip.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the whistle clip of Lance in the device of Pyzel/Bickford/Pasternack because it would allow a user to get a rescuer's attention if the room is filled with smoke.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,712 Page 9

Art Unit: 3761

20. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickford in view of Pasternack.

21. **As to claims 16-18**, Bickford teaches a breathing apparatus comprising:

an oxygen unit for storing oxygen 12;

an outlet **74** coupled to the oxygen unit;

a filtering unit **18** including one filter comprised of carbon dioxide absorbing materials (col. 3, lines 40-55);

a mouthpiece **108** coupled to the filtering unit, the mouthpiece operable for resting in the mouth of a user so that the user can inhale the purified air through the opening and exhale carbon dioxide through the opening without the use of hands;

a nose plug **20** removably attached to at least one of the chamber and the filtering unit, the nose plug operable for closing nasal passages of the user;

a transparent bag 22 removably attached to the chamber, the transparent bag operable for preventing contaminated air from entering the eyes, ears and nose of the user; and

a clip 27 to secure the device on a user's article.

Bickford is silent with regards to an expandable sac coupled to the filtering unit for collecting the carbon dioxide exhaled by the user.

Pasternack teaches a breathing device having an expandable sac **7** connected to a filtering unit for collecting carbon dioxide exhaled by the user .

Art Unit: 3761

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the expandable sac of Pasternack to the device of Bickford because it allows the user to reuse the exhaled breath with low carbon dioxide level back into the system (col. 2, lines 49-59).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (703) 605-0420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

dpe

WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700